

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is not right.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. By airing a subjective attack film on John Kerry, are they serving the public interest? Is it fair and balanced? The answer is NO.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.